

## MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

### REMARKS

Applicants wish to bring to the Examiner's attention that some of the allowed claims (between 6-90) mentioned on the Notice of Allowability are incorrect. The correct allowed claims should be: 1-4, 6-19, 21-22, 74, 76-90, 93-94, 96-104, 106-111, 113-127, 129-161, 163-168. Our amendment is based on these claims.

In reviewing the claims prior to issue fee payment, the undersigned noted that there were some obvious errors in claims 77, 86, 96, 102, 104, 131, 137, 139, 143, 146, 147, 164-166, and 168.

In claim 96 the term "moving" was amended to read "retracting" to conform with the language of claim 94.

In claims 102, 131, 137, 143, and 146, the term "spike tips" was amended to read "spikes" to conform the language of these claims to the language of the claims on which they depend, and in claim 147 a similar amendment was introduced to ensure consistency of terms within the claim.

Claims 164-166 were amended to clarify that "they" refers to the spikes, as is self-evident to a person skilled in the art, and as may be evident, for instance, from claim 168. In each of claims 164-166 and 168 a superfluous comma was deleted.

All other amendments are self-explanatory.

Applicants respectfully request that this amendment be entered in the application prior to issuance to ensure that the claims publish correctly.

Additionally, Applicants wish to point out that the Continuity data on the filing receipt is incorrect as well as the city of the first named applicant. Although several requests for correction were submitted, a correction has not yet been received. The Parent Continuity Data on Pair is also incorrect.

The correct Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant should be:

--This Application is a 371 of PCT/IL99/00670 12/08/1999

and a CIP of 09/701,531 11/28/2000

which is a 371 of PCT/IL99/00284 05/30/1999

and a CIP of 09/701,523 11/28/2000

which is a 371 of PCT/IL99/00285 05/30/1999--

The correct city of the inventor should be Moshav Bazra (and not Bazrs) as appears on the filing receipt and in Pair.

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE

Applicants request correction prior to issuance to insure that the correct information is printed on the face of the patent.

Respectfully submitted,  
Amir LOSHAKOVE, et al.



Allan C. ENTIS  
Reg. No. 52,866

November 9, 2005  
William H. Dippert, Esq.  
Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen LLP  
250 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10177

Tel: (212) 986-1116